

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 210 of 1902.

Serial Nos. 1404.

1902-03
(Sep: Rev.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major Part B for November 1903.

SUBJECT.

Inaudar's claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Manganahalli, Bangalore Taluk.

- 1. From the Excise formur. in Mysore, No. 6923/454 S 7/16th May 1903. Submits the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Jodi Māganahalli Village, Hengeri Hobli, Bangalore Taluk*
- 2. To the Supdt. of Nam Settlements in*

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates. To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Branch

Subject Letter n^o 6923 87.5.1903, from
434
the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, Submitting
the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy
revenue of the Jodi village of Mangana Halli
Bangalore Taluk.

Submitted

The Jodidar is not entitled to the toddy revenue, as per Excise Commissioner's ~~opinion~~ opinion and the revised findings of the investigating officer.

The Sannad is not forthcoming and is said to have been lost.

The Inam Register reserves rights to Sayer Abkari and Forests; though in a list of whole Inam villages prepared in the Inam Supt's Office, the village in question was wrongly shown as entitled to Excise Revenue.

The Inam Register shows under Revenue enjoyed, as follows: -

	+	+	+
	+	+	+
4.	+	+	+

Toddy trees (25)

The Insulars seem to have
 no claim to Lycian Revenue -
 All the time, before dropping
 order disallowing the claim, I
 wanted to know why this
 Village has been placed in
 the list of Villages entitled to
 this item of Revenue - If the entry
 was a mistake, by all means,
 drop the order proposed.

Order
 29/8

29/8/03

Outward to
 Supt of Mans
 11/9

^{04/9}
 Please
 explain
 issue if
 it is a
 mistake

11/9
 30.VIII

11/9
 11/9

11/9/03.

Thereby the reference was only to be made
there is a proof, but no shop in the
village.

Submitted with a draft for approval.

Dues

28/8

Hing

To the Dewan,
through the 2nd. Councillor.

Subd. Lorie recommendation
Dues

28/8

Subd. Lorie Dewan

Dues

28/8

12591
Mysore 30.8.03

Note Contd.

Vide remarks of the 2^d Councillor -
and those of the Dewan on the other page
on the 29.8.03 & 30.8.03 respectively.

The Supt^d of Inam Settlements was
accordingly consulted in the office 2^d

209 of 2.9.03. and in reply thereto he -
of 7/16.01

Vide No 241 of 8.9.03.

not in the file

MO

19.2.14

States "that the entry in the list is inaccurate
and the entry in the Register must be accepted
as correct."

Entered in the
Missing Reg^r

Subd. The original draft may be ordered to be paid

2/11/03

Hing

5/11/3

X The Dewan through
the 2^d Councillor

The entry in the list has been
reported to be inaccurate.
It is clear then that the Jodidar
is not entitled to body
Revenue - I agree to the draft -
H

5-11-03


13860
6-11-03.

J
H

S. R. File 210752

of no.

Ex 487 D 18573



1902-1903

FROM

J. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

TO

H. V. Kanjundayya Esquire M.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Department

Dated

7th

Despatched

16th

May 1903

Received

General No. 6923

No. 454

Enclosure

No. 1 file

Subject

Submits the record of
enquiry relating to the Sodd
Revenue of Jodi Mangana
village, Kengeri hobli, Bangalore
taluk.

Ex 487

18.5.03

Drift file date
27/8/03.

Excise Commissioner's Office
No 6923
454
Bengaluru, dated 7th May 1903
16th

From

P. N. Purundaya Esquire, B.A.;
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Kanjundayya Esquire, M.A. B.L.;
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Department

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Joddy Revenue of Mangarahalli Jodi village, Kengeri Hobli, Bangalore taluk, I have the honor to state that the Jodidar has deposed that the Sannad of the village was lost during his father's lifetime, that the Joddy revenue has not been conceded in the Inam Title Deed; that the Jodidars have been leasing their date trees and enjoying the revenue; and that such revenue is now claimed. In the Inam Settlement Register, under the head - "Revenue enjoyed by Jodidars" in column 11, "Joddy trees (25)" are mentioned. In column 20, the Superintendent of Inam Settlement has ordered on 27th July 1876, - "Rights to Sayer, Abkari and Forests are reserved". There is no

Shop

shop in the village, but there are date trees in it. I agree with Mr. Cooparsingh Naidus finding that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. Accordingly only $\frac{1}{5}$ of the rent of the Grove farm is payable to the Jodidar under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83 dated 2nd August 1901.
R.F. 166-92

2. It is true the above village is included in a list of whole Inam villages the holders of which are recorded in the Inam Register as having a right to Toddy Revenue. On this Mr. Karasingh Row's opinion is stated to have been based. In preparing the list, the officials in the Inam Superintendent's office seem to have erroneously included in it even the villages entitled only to "Free-rent". It is conclusively clear from the Inam Settlement Register that rights to abkari have been reserved to the Government.

3. I beg that the Inam Settlement Register may be returned for retransmission to the Superintendent of Inam Settlement.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
Mr. Karasingh
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

23 over 267 3. 2008

4

Submitted

1029
File 1

The decision of mine is based on the statement furnished by the Supt of Mam settlement naming the Mam villages submitted to Toddy Revenue.

2. A copy of the same is herewith submitted.
3. It will be clear from it that the Supt of Mam admits the right of the godi village in question to Toddy Revenue.
4. The final settlement Register is not available in this office.
5. A finding in consonance with the opinion expressed by the Excise Commissioner is herewith sent. Since the original finding as the revised finding may be adopted

I concur in this finding

W. H. Parker

Dy Commr
Bangalore 25/4/03

Dmy anand

28/3

A balance of a claim to Joddy Revenue enquired into by Mr. D. M. Karasappa Rao, Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore.

1. Taluk	2. Hobli	3. Village	4. Name of Inamdar	5. Documents to be relied upon	6. Witnesses examined	7. Findings	8. Amount awarded if any	9. Grounds of decision
Bangalore	Kenpara	Joddy Mangyan Kullli	Sheshappa	Inam Settlement Register	Sheshappa	The Inam is not entitled to Joddy revenue. (ii) The case falls under paras. 4 & 17 of Govt. Pro: No. 2074-83 D. 7. 166. 92. D. 2. 2. Aug. 1901.	16 10 10	(i) Non-mention of right to Joddy Revenue in the Inam Settlement Register. (ii) Absence of credible evidence regarding the same. (iii) The Excise Accounts Register.

D. Bangalore

Drawn and signed by
Assistant Commissioner
Bangalore

Bangalore Plate
Joddu Manjunath

I. Findings

- (i) The man is not entitled to Joddu Revenue
- (ii) The case falls under para 4 & 17 of the Govt. Pro. No. —
2074-83 R. 7. 166: 92 of 2nd August
1901
- (iii) The man is entitled to the following amounts: —
- (a) From 92-93 to 97-98. $\frac{1}{5}$ of
Grove. Total.

92-93	nil.
93-94	2-6-3
94-95	2-9-7
95-96	0-11-0
96-97	nil.
	5-10-10.

- (b) 97-98. nil.

(c) 98.99 to 1901-02

98.99.	nil
99.00.	3-8-0
00-01.	nil
01-02	7-8-0

11-0-0

Hence the total amount
the Grandee is entitled to
is Rs 16.10.10

II. Grounds of decision are
as follow:-

- (i). Non-mention of right
to Joddy Revenue in the
Gow Settlement Register
- (ii). Absence of credible evi-
dence regarding the same
- (iii) The Excise Accounts & Registers.

31/3/1903.

D. Narayana Achari
Assistant Commissioner
Bangalore District

S. R. File no 2455/03

8

8² no 2

Office Draft. (Docket.)

The Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Revenue Branch:

To

The Superintendent
of Inam settlements
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft

1/9/03

Date of despatch

2/9/03

209

Regd. No.

File No. & date (if any)

27.6.01

No. of Encls.

2.

✓ (Inam Register &
list sent)

12/9/03

It has the honor to invite
his attention to the inclusion
of Jodi Mangunahalli, Bangalore
Taluk, in the list of Inam villages
entitled to toddy revenue and to
request enquire how such
entry was recorded, when
according to the ~~old~~ orders of the
Inam Supt? dated 27.7.1876, the
"rights to Sayer, Abkari and Fresh
are reserved" to Govt in the case
of the Inam in question.

The Inam Register no 336
of Jodi Mangunahalli and the copy
of Inam villages list referred
to above are herewith sent for
ready reference and obliging
return when furnishing the
information called for.

By order

For Secretary


S. R. File no 210 of 02

8^l no 3
4^{ci}
9.9.11

Ex 133 9/9/03.

9

FROM



To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 8th

Despatched 8th

Received

September

No. 41 of 1903-04

Enclosure No. 2

With reference to his docket
No 209
Ex F. 16-01 of the 2nd September
1903, requesting explanation
for the difference between the
Inam Register, and the list show-
ing the rights of Inam dars
to ^{Joddy} Revenue in the Jodi Mangal-
-mahalli village, Bangalore
Taluk, in the matter of the
claim of the Joddidar to
Joddy revenue, has the honor
to state that the entry in the
list is inaccurate and the
entry in the Register must
be accepted as correct.

2. The return of the original
list, sent from this Office, is
requested for check and re-
transmission, as it is feared

that there are other similar inaccuracies.

3. The enclosures of the docket under reply, are herewith returned.

L.B.

Spunt

*Supdt of Iron & Steel.
in Mysore*

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

Read :

Letter no. $\frac{6922}{454}$ of 7.5.03 from
the Ex. Commis. in Mysore
submitting the record of enquiry into
the claim to the toddy revenue of
the Jodi village of Mangankhalli
in the Bangalore Taluk.

Order: $\frac{410-11}{27.16.01}$ of 20.11.03.

The Jodidar is not
entitled to the toddy revenue
as it has not been ~~recd~~
Conceded by the Inam
Settlement and ^{as} there is
no evidence to ~~support~~ ^{show}
~~establish his right to the~~
~~that it was being enjoyed~~
Jodidar.

2. A refund bill

for the amount awarded
by the investigating Officer
may be submitted after
its verification by the Ex. Com.

To: The Ex. Commis. in Mysore.
returning
the records.

The Comptroller, Mysore

9/11/03

Secretary

R2 10/11/03

2
87. G
1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 20th November 1903

No. 410-11
Ex. F. 16-01

Holding that the Jodidar of Mangana-
halli village, Bangalore Taluk, is not
entitled to the toddy Excise revenue of the
village.

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Excise, dated 20th November 1903.*

READ—

Letter No. 6933—454, dated 7th May 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry into the claim to the toddy revenue of the Jodi village of Manganhalli in the Bangalore Taluk.

No. 410-11—Ex. F. 16-01, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH NOVEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Jodidar is not entitled to the toddy revenue, as it has not been conceded by the Inam Settlement and as there is no evidence to establish its enjoyment by the Jodidar.

2. A refund bill for the amount awarded by the investigating officer may be submitted after its verification by the Excise Commissioner.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C.R.